

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,350	11/20/2001	Lih-Ling Lin	5232CP4DV3CN	2615
7590 10/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Ivor R Elrifi			ULM, JOHN D	
Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky & Popeo PC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Financial Center			1646	121
Boston, MA 02111			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004	į.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/989,350	LIN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		John D. Ulm	1646		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT that is a cause the application to become AR	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
- 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 July 2004.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13 and 52-66 is/are pending in the	application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nawn nom consideration.			
	Claim(s) 13 and 52-66 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement			
		aror cicculor requirement.			
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Exami				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
a)[_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreional All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No.		
;	 Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure 	iority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
		•			
ttanh	(a)				
ttachment(of References Cited (PTO-892)				
Notice	OF NEIGHBURS CITED (PTO-892)	4) ∐ Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)		
)	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO.049)	Paner No(a\/	Mail Date		
)	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08/08)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/ 8) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1646

- 1) Claims 13 and 52 to 66 are pending in the instant application. Claim 13 has been amended and claims 52 to 66 have been added as requested by Applicant in the correspondence filed 28 July of 2004.
- 2) Any objection or rejection of record that is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 3) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4) Claims 63 to 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Because of the presence of the terms "comprising the amino acid sequence", these claim encompasses an antibody which binds to an epitope that is not contained within SEQ ID NO:2. As stated in section 4 of the previous office action, it is old and well known in the art that the portion of a protein to which an antibody binds usually consists of no more than six to eight amino acid residues. It was also well known in the art long before the instant invention was made to express a recombinant protein as part of a fusion protein "comprising", in addition to the amino acid sequence of a desired protein, an antigenic tail such as a "FLAG epitope", a polyhistidine tail, or a "Protein A" fragment to facilitate the purification of the desired protein. Because of the presence of the term "comprising" in the instant claims. they encompass any antibody which can bind to any epitope which can be expressed as a portion of a TNF-RI-DD ligand protein comprising the amino acid sequence as set

Art Unit: 1646

Page 3

fourth in SEQ ID NO:2 and, therefore this claim essentially encompasses any antibody which can bind to any polypeptide or protein. The instant specification, however, does not provide a written description or the guidance needed to produce an antibody which binds to any epitope other than an epitope which is contained within SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application.

- 5) Claims 13 and 52 to 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are vague and indefinite in so far as they employ the term "TNF-RI-DD ligand protein" as a limitation, essentially for those reasons of record as applied to claim 13 in section 5 of the previous office action. As stated therein, because the instant specification does not identify that property or combination of properties which is unique to and, therefore, definitive of a "TNF-RI-DD ligand protein" an artisan can not determine if a compound which meets all of the other limitations of a claim would then be included or excluded from the claimed subject matter by the presence of this limitation. For example, it is unclear how a claim to "an antibody which binds to a TNF-RI-DD ligand protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2" differs in scope from a claim to to "an antibody which binds to a protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2".
- 6) Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is vague and indefinite because there is

Art Unit: 1646

no antecedent basis for "the composition of claim 60". Claim 60 is drawn to "A neutralizing antibody".

- 7) Claims 63 to 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Hopp et al. patent (5,011,912) for those reasons of record as applied to claim 13 in section 7 of the previous office action. As explained above, these claims encompass an antibody which binds to any antigenic peptide, including the flag epitope DYKDDDDK which was bound by the antibody of Hopp et al. prior to the time of the instant invention.
- 8) Applicant's arguments filed 28 July of 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0880. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kunz Gary can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600